KINECT FOR KINECT FOR XBOX 360

QUICK INTRODUCTION

XBOX 360



Creative Director on Kinect

 15 years in gaming industry

< 3 years at Microsoft

KINECT CONCEPT

"The purpose of Kinect is to make Xbox more accessible to a broader audience"

KINECT KEY PILLARS



Key Pillars:

- 1. Unique to Kinect
- 2. Approachable
- 3. Social
- 4. As fun to watch as it is to play
- 5. Play any way you want to
- 6. Redefine Microsoft approach to broadeni



KINECT FEATURES



Key Features:

- 1. Avateering
- 2. Voice Rec and Party Chat
- 3. Recognizing People and Objects
- 4. Stuff Works!!!!











FINAL THOUGHTS...

Making a natural experience is UN-NATURAL





MSR is pretty darn AWESOME!!!!



FINAL THOUGHTS



We are all learning about Kinect together.



FINAL THOUGHTS



Love to hear more from people at MSR: Kudot@microsoft.com

Microsoft® Research Faculty Summit 2010

Microsoft Research Faculty Summit 2010 Kinect for Xbox 360 The Innovation Journey

Kudo TsunodaAndrew FitzgibbonCreative Director – KinectPrincipal ResearcherMicrosoft Game StudiosMicrosoft Research Cambridge

From Natal to Kinect

Andrew Fitzgibbon Principal Researcher Microsoft Research Cambridge

SIGGRAPH2004

"GrabCut" — Interactive Foreground Extraction using Iterated Graph Cuts

Carsten Rother*

Vladimir Kolmogorov[†] Microsoft Research Cambridge, UK Andrew Blake[‡]



Figure 1: Three examples of GrabCut. The user drags a rectangle loosely around an object. The object is then extracted automatically.

Abstract

The problem of efficient, interactive foreground/background segmentation in still images is of great practical importance in image editing. Classical image segmentation tools use either texture (colour) information, e.g. Magic Wand, or edge (contrast) information, e.g. Intelligent Scissors. Recently, an approach based on optimization by graph-cut has been developed which successfully combines both types of information. In this paper we extend the free of colour bleeding from the source background. In general, degrees of interactive effort range from editing individual pixels, at the labour-intensive extreme, to merely touching foreground and/or background in a few locations.

1.1 Previous approaches to interactive matting

In the following we describe briefly and compare several state of the art interactive tools for segmentation: Magic Wand, Intelligent





F#: Functional Programming goes Mainstream



Human body tracking: Method 1 – search



Andrew Blake, Kentaro Toyama, **Probablisitic tracking in a metric space** Best Paper, IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, 2001

"Search"-based: look up matching exemplar

Human body tracking: Method 2 – regression



Image z

Agarwal & Triggs, CVPR '04; Urtasun et al., ICCV '05

1. Obtain training data $(z_1, \theta_1) \dots (z_n, \theta_n)$



2. Training: Fit function $\theta = f(z)$



3. Given new image z^{new} , compute $\theta^{new} = f(z^{new})$



3. Given new image z^{new} , compute $\theta^{new} = f(z^{new})$



3. Or, more usefully, compute $p(\theta^{new}|z^{new})$





Can it ever work?

- *f* is multivalued
- z and θ high dimensional

Multivalued *f*:



Multivalued *f*:





Instead of this:









Joint, not conditional: fit $p(\theta, z)$, not $p(\theta|z)$



Given z^{new} , compute $p(\theta^{new}, z^{new})$



Given z^{new} , compute $p(\theta^{new}, z^{new})$



Given z^{new} , compute $p(\theta^{new}, z^{new})$



And filter over time...



And filter over time...





We don't have this:





Of which a not unreasonable model is:



We have too few labelled (z, θ) pairs



We have too few labelled (z, θ) pairs



But we can easily capture more **unlabelled** images, i.e. (z,*) pairs

We have too few labelled (z, θ) pairs



And we can easily obtain more motion capture data i.e. more $(*, \theta)$ pairs

Marginal statistics



Marginal statistics which contradict our earlier guess



Requiring consistent marginals gives this:



Research: Human body tracking

Wide range of motion
But limited agility
And not realtime



R Navaratnam, A Fitzgibbon, R Cipolla **The Joint Manifold Model for Semi-supervised Multi-valued Regression** IEEE Intl Conf on Computer Vision, 2007





The Call: September 2008

"We need a body tracker with
☑ All motions...
☑ All agilities...
☑ 10x Realtime...
☑ For multiple players...

The Call: September 2008

"We need a body tracker with
☑ All motions...
☑ All agilities...
☑ 10x Realtime...
☑ For multiple players...

... but you have got 3D ^(C)



Step 1: Collect training data

- Teams visit households across the globe, filming real users
- Hollywood motion capture studio generates billions of CG images
- Researchers and devs think...

Aside: Object Recognition



J. Shotton, J. Winn, C. Rother, A. Criminisi, *TextonBoost*: Joint Appearance, Shape and Context Modeling for Multi-Class Object Recognition and Segmentation. European Conference on Computer Vision, 2006

Aside: Object Recognition

Real-Time Semantic Segmentation

Jamie Shotton Matthew Johnson Roberto Cipolla

Step 1: Collect training data

- Teams visit households across the globe, filming real users
- Hollywood motion capture studio generates billions of CG images
- Researchers and devs think... if only I had a hammer.

Training data



How it works



1. Classify each pixel's probability of being each of 32 body parts

2. Determine probabilistic cluster of body configurations consistent with those parts

3. Present the most probable to the user

How it works



1. Classify each pixel's probability of being each of 32 body parts

2. Determine probabilistic cluster of body configurations consistent with those parts

3. Present the most probable to the user

Under the bonnet



Training



Millions of training images -> millions of classifier parameters

- Very far from "embarrassingly parallel"
- New algorithm for distributed decision-tree training
- Major use of DryadLINQ [available for download]

Distributed Data-Parallel Computing Using a High-Level Programming Language M Isard, Y Yu

International Conference on Management of Data (SIGMOD), July 2009



Machine learning loves hard problems

Games programmers are amazing

Blue skies research can be quickest to market





- Home
- Download

Documentation

- User Guide
- Tutorials & Examples
- API Documentation

Support

- FAQ
- Forum

IIII Infer.NET

Infer.NET is a framework for running Bayesian inference in graphical models. You can use it to solve many different kinds of machine learning problems, from standard problems like classification or clustering through to customised solutions to domain-specific problems. Infer.NET has been used in a wide variety of domains including information retrieval, bioinformatics, epidemiology, vision, and many others.

Infer.NET 2.3 beta 4 is now available for download [12th November 2009].

This release is a minor update which includes some bug fixes for beta 3. See the release change history for details. This new release supports recent versions of F# (1.9.7.8 and above).

Please use the forum to provide feedback, to ask questions, and to share the ways in which you are using Infer.NET (or send e-mail to infersup@microsoft.com). Please subscribe to the the announcement forum to receive announcements about new releases etc. If you use Infer.NET as part of your research, please cite Infer.NET as detailed in the FAQ.



🖓 👻 🔍 1009





© 2010 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved. Microsoft, Windows, Windows Vista and other product names are or may be registered trademarks and/or trademarks in the U.S. and/or other countries.

The information herein is for informational purposes only and represents the current view of flucrosoft Corporation as of the date of this presentation. Because Microsoft must respond to changing market conditions, it should not be interpreted to be a commitment on the part of Microsoft, must respond to changing market conditions, it should not be interpreted to be a commitment on the part of Microsoft, must respond to changing market conditions, it should not be interpreted to be a commitment on the part of Microsoft, must respond to changing market conditions, it should not be interpreted to be a commitment on the part of Microsoft, must respond to changing market conditions, it should not be interpreted to be a commitment on the part of Microsoft, must respond to changing market conditions.

and Microsoft cannot guarantee the accuracy of any information provided after the date of this presentation. MICROSOFT MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS, IMPLIED OR STATUTORY, AS TO THE INFORMATION IN THIS PRESENTATION.







Microsoft[®]

© 2010 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved. Microsoft, Windows, Windows Vista and other product names are or may be registered trademarks and/or trademarks in the U.S. and/or other countries.

The information herein is for informational purposes only and represents the current view of Microsoft Corporation as of the date of this presentation. Because Microsoft must respond to changing market conditions, it should not be interpreted to be a commitment on the part of Microsoft, and Microsoft corporation as of the date of this presentation provided after the date of this presentation.

MICROSOFT MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS, IMPLIED OR STATUTORY, AS TO THE INFORMATION IN THIS PRESENTATION.