1. \((1|\text{prec}| \sum w_j C_j)\)

To recall, in the \((1|\text{prec}| \sum w_j C_j)\) problem, we are given \(n\) jobs, one machine, a digraph \(D\) which captures the precedence constraints; if \((j \rightarrow k)\) is an arc in \(D\) then job \(j\) must be completed before job \(k\).

We start with the following lemma which will be crucial to obtain the linear programming relaxation for the above problem. The lemma, however, holds for any single machine problem (precedence constraints are not necessary). We need the following definitions.

Given a feasible schedule on a single machine, let \(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n\) be the completion times of the \(n\) jobs. Given a subset \(S\) of jobs, let \(p(S) := \sum_{j \in S} p_j\) and let \(p^2(S) := \sum_{j \in S} p_j^2\). We also use the shorthand \(p^2(S)\) to denote \((p(S))^2\).

**Lemma 1.1.** The \(C_j\)’s satisfy the following inequality

\[
\sum_{j \in S} p_j C_j \geq \frac{1}{2} (p^2(S) + p(S)^2) \tag{1}
\]

**Proof.** Assume the jobs are labelled so that \(C_1 \leq C_2 \leq \cdots \leq C_n\) and let \(S \subseteq J\). For any job \(j\), \(C_j \geq \sum_{k \leq j} p_k\) because the jobs must be feasibly scheduled. So certainly, \(C_j \geq \sum_{k \leq j, k \in S} p_k\) and \(p_j C_j \geq p_j \sum_{k \leq j, k \in S} p_k\). Summing over all \(j \in S\),

\[
\sum_{j \in S} p_j C_j \geq \sum_{j \in S} p_j \sum_{k \leq j, k \in S} p_k = \frac{1}{2} (p^2(S) + p(S)^2)
\]

\(\Box\)

We can now state an LP relaxation for \((1|\text{prec}| \sum w_j C_j)\). We have variables \(C_j\) to denote the completion time of job \(j\). The LP relaxation is as follows

\[
\min \sum w_j C_j \\
C_k \geq C_j + p_k \quad \text{for each } j, k \text{ such that } j \rightarrow k \\
\sum_{j \in S} p_j C_j \geq \frac{1}{2} (p^2(S) + p(S)^2) \quad \text{for each } S \subseteq J \\
C_j \geq p_j \quad \text{for } k = 1, \ldots, n
\]

Note the LP has a constraint for every subset of jobs, and therefore has exponentially many constraints. In the end of this section, we will tell how this LP can still be solved.
For the time being assume we can solve the LP, and let $C^L_j$’s be the completion times returned by the LP. Note that we are not guaranteed that these are feasible completion times. However, these do imply the following algorithm.

Let the jobs be numbered $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ such that $C^L_1 \leq \cdots \leq C^L_n$. Schedule the jobs in this order.

**Theorem 1.2.** The above algorithm is a 2-approximation for $1|\text{prec}|\sum w_j C_j$.

**Proof.** Let $C^A_j$ be completion time of job $j$ in the above algorithm. We claim that $C^A_j \leq 2C^L_j$. This will prove the theorem.

To see this look at the set of jobs $S = \{1, 2, \ldots, j\}$. Note that $C^A_j = \sum_{j \in S} p_j = p(S)$. Now since $C^L_1 \leq \cdots \leq C^L_j$, we have

$$C^L_j p(S_j) \geq \sum_{k=1}^j p_k C^L_k \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( p^2(S_j) + p(S_j)^2 \right)$$

where the last inequality follows from the LP constraint. The above implies, $C^L_j \geq \frac{1}{2} p(S_j) = \frac{1}{2} C^A_j$. \hfill \Box

### 1.1 Solving the LP

We now discuss how the above LP can be solved. The reason is the following theorem due to Grotschel, Lovasz and Schrijver (GLS). Suppose given a solution $x$ to an LP we can check, in polynomial time, if $x$ satisfies all the constraints of the LP. Then GLS theorem states that the LP can be solved in polynomial time as well.

Thus, if given $C_j$’s for all the jobs, one can check that for all subset $S$ of jobs the constraint

$$\sum_{j \in S} p_j C_j - \frac{1}{2} (p^2(S) + p(S)^2) \geq 0$$

then we are done. Define a function which takes a subset and returns a value.

$$f(S) := \sum_{j \in S} p_j C_j - \frac{1}{2} (p^2(S) + p(S)^2)$$

Then we need to check if $f(S) \geq 0$ for all subsets $S$. This is equivalent to checking if the minimum value of $f(S)$ over all subsets is at least 0.

Here comes the second important idea, and in fact by the same trio (GLS), who showed that if $f$ had a certain property, then the minimum value of $f(S)$ can be found in polynomial time. This property is called submodularity.

**Definition 1.3.** A function $f : 2^J \rightarrow \text{reals}$ is submodular if for any two subsets $S$ and $T$ of $J$, the following property is satisfied

$$f(S) + f(T) \geq f(S \cup T) + f(S \cap T)$$

In the final homework, in the bonus section, we will explore how the function $f$ defined above is submodular, and hence can be minimized.